IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 3RD APRIL 2007 / 13TH CHAITHRA 1930

WP(C).No. 9836 of 2007(U)

---

PETITIONER:

---

K.J.CHERIAN, S/O.JOHN, KULANGARA HOUSE,
XXII/45, KAVUMPADY ROAD, MUVATTUPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.T.KRISHNAN UNNI

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
VYDYUTHI BHAVAN, PATTAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL DIVISION,
MUVATTUPUZHA, ERNAKULAM
DISTRICT.

BY SRI. JOSE . J. MATHEIKEL - SC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**S. SIRI JAGAN, J.**

**----------**

**W.P.(C)NO.9836 OF 2007**

**----------**

**DATED THIS THE 3rd DAY OF APRIL, 2007**

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner is the owner of a building in the Muvattupuzha Municipality which has been taken on rent by the 1st respondent for housing the office of electrical division of Muvattupuzha. The original rent fixed was Rs. 3,750/- which was being increased from time to time. The petitioner by Ext.P1 demanded enhancement of rent to Rs.17,250/- per month. However, by Ext.P4 order, the revised rent was fixed as Rs. 4,915/- which according to the petitioner is too low considering the rent in vogue for similar buildings in the locality.

2. In the above circumstances, the petitioner has filed Ext.P5 representation before the 1st respondent and the petitioner seeks a direction to the 1st respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P5 expeditiously.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as also the learned standing counsel appearing for the respondents. In view of the facts disclosed, I feel that it is only appropriate that the 1st respondent considers Ext.P5 and passes appropriate orders on the same expeditiously. This the 1st respondent shall do within a period of one

month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner. The petitioner shall also to be served with a copy of the decision taken by the 1st respondent.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

**S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE**

Acd